

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHARON BRIDGEWATER,

Plaintiff,

v.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, et  
al.,

Defendants.

No. 10-03022 CW

ORDER DENYING  
PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR  
RECONSIDERATION  
TO VACATE OR  
ALTER JUDGMENT

Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater moves for reconsideration and to vacate or alter a judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e). This motion seeks to vacate or alter the Court's February 22, 2011 order granting Defendants' motions to dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and to declare Plaintiff a vexatious litigant and denying Plaintiff's motion to amend her complaint.


Rule 59(e) provides that "any motion to alter or amend a judgment shall be filed no later than 10 days after entry of judgment." Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e). Rule 59(e) motions are interpreted as motions for reconsideration, and are appropriate if the district court "(1) is presented with newly discovered evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was

1 manifestly unjust, or (3) if there is an intervening change in  
2 controlling law." School Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah County, Oregon v.  
3 AcandS, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993).

4 In her motion, Plaintiff repeats many of the arguments  
5 addressed in the February 22, 2011 order. She also argues the  
6 motion is supported by another amended complaint she wishes to file  
7 against Defendants for violations of the Federal False Claims Act.  
8 Plaintiff's arguments do not meet any of the requirements for  
9 reconsideration. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration  
10 is denied. The new amended complaint that Plaintiff wishes to file  
11 shall be returned to Plaintiff. Plaintiff did not seek leave to  
12 file it and cannot file it as a matter of right. See Federal Rule  
13 of Civil Procedure 15(a). The above entitled case is closed.

14  
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16  
17 Dated: 12/6/2011



18 CLAUDIA WILKEN  
19 United States District Judge  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRIDGEWATER,

Plaintiff,

v.

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP et  
al,

Defendant.

Case Number: CV10-03022 CW

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on December 6, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Sharon Bridgewater  
Bridgewater and Company Inc  
In Care of: Sharon Bridgewater  
965 Mission Street, Suite 409  
San Francisco, CA 94104

Dated: December 6, 2011

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk  
By: Nikki Riley, Deputy Clerk

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California